arXiv:1403.2645v2 [math.RT] 3 May 2014

Regularizing decompositions for matrix pencils and a topological classification of pairs of linear mappings*

Vyacheslav Futorny
University of São Paulo, Brazil
futorny@ime.usp.br

Tetiana Rybalkina
Institute of Mathematics, Kiev, Ukraine
rybalkina_t@ukr.net

Vladimir V. Sergeichuk
Institute of Mathematics, Kiev, Ukraine
sergeich@imath.kiev.ua

Abstract

By Kronecker's theorem, each matrix pencil $A+\lambda B$ over a field $\mathbb{F}$ is strictly equivalent to its *regularizing decomposition*; i.e., a direct sum

$$(I_r+\lambda D)\oplus(M_1+\lambda N_1)\oplus\cdots\oplus(M_t+\lambda N_t),$$

where D is an $r\times r$ nonsingular matrix and each $M_i+\lambda N_i$ is of the form $I_k+\lambda J_k(0)$, $J_k(0)+\lambda I_k$, $L_k+\lambda R_k$, or $L_k^T+\lambda R_k^T$, in which L_k and R_k are obtained from I_k by deleting its last or, respectively, first row and $J_k(0)$ is a singular Jordan block.

We give a method for constructing a regularizing decomposition of an $m\times n$ matrix pencil $A+\lambda B$, which is formulated in terms of the linear mappings $A,B:\mathbb{F}^n\to\mathbb{F}^m$.

*This manuscript was published in [Linear Algebra Appl. 450 (2014) 121–137], in which Theorem 2 was formulated inaccurately; see the footnote to Theorem 2.

Two $m \times n$ pencils $A + \lambda B$ and $A' + \lambda B'$ over $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ are said to be *topologically equivalent* if the pairs of linear mappings $A, B : \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F}^m$ and $A', B' : \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F}^m$ coincide up to homeomorphisms of the spaces $\mathbb{F}^n$ and $\mathbb{F}^m$. We prove that two pencils are topologically equivalent if and only if their regularizing decompositions coincide up to permutation of summands and replacement of D by a nonsingular matrix D' such that the linear operators $D, D' : \mathbb{F}^r \to \mathbb{F}^r$ coincide up to a homeomorphism of $\mathbb{F}^r$.

Keywords: Pairs of linear mappings, Matrix pencils, Regularizing decomposition, Topological classification

AMS Classification: 15A21; 37C15

1 Introduction

In this article

- a regularizing decomposition of a matrix pencil is constructed by a method that is formulated in terms of images, preimages, and kernels of linear mappings, and
- the problem of topological classification of pairs of linear mappings $A, B : \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F}^m$ over $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ is reduced to the open problem of topological classification of linear operators, which was solved in special cases (in particular, for operators without eigenvalues that are roots of 1) in [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 18, 21].

1.1 A regularizing decomposition of matrix pencils

A *matrix pencil* over a field $\mathbb{F}$ is a parameter matrix $A + \lambda B$, in which A and B are matrices over $\mathbb{F}$ of the same size. Two matrix pencils $A + \lambda B$ and $A' + \lambda B'$ are *strictly equivalent* if there exist nonsingular matrices S and R over $\mathbb{F}$ such that $S(A+\lambda B)R = A'+\lambda B'$. This means that the corresponding matrix pairs (A, B) and (A', B') are *equivalent*; i.e.,

$$SA = A'R \text{ and } SB = B'R \text{ for some nonsingular } S \text{ and } R. \tag{1}$$

In what follows, we consider matrix pairs (A, B) instead of pencils $A + \lambda B$.

Denote by $J_k(0)$ the $k \times k$ singular Jordan block with units under the diagonal. Write

$$L_k := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad R_k := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad ((k-1)\text{-by-}k);$$

note that $L_1 = R_1 = 0_{01}$ is the 0×1 matrix of the linear mapping $\mathbb{F} \to 0$. Kronecker's canonical form for matrix pencils (see [11, Section XII]) ensures that each matrix pair (A, B) over a field $\mathbb{F}$ is equivalent to a direct sum

$$(I_r, D) \oplus (M_1, N_1) \oplus (M_2, N_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus (M_t, N_t) \tag{2}$$

in which D is an $r \times r$ nonsingular matrix and each (M_i, N_i) is one of the matrix pairs

$$(I_k, J_k(0)),\ (J_k(0), I_k),\ (L_k, R_k),\ (L_k^T, R_k^T), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots \tag{3}$$

The summands $(M_1, N_1), \ldots, (M_t, N_t)$ are called the *indecomposable singular summands* of (A, B); they are determined by (A, B) uniquely, up to permutation. The summand (I_r, D) is called a *regular part* of (A, B); its matrix D is determined uniquely up to similarity transformations $C^{-1}DC$ (C is nonsingular); D can be taken in the Jordan form if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ or in the real Jordan form [14, Section 3.4] if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$.

The direct sum (2) is called a *regularizing decomposition* of (A, B). Van Dooren's algorithm [27] constructs a regularizing decomposition for a complex matrix pencil using only unitary transformations, which is important for its numerical stability. The algorithm was extended to cycles of linear mappings in [26] and to matrices under congruence and *congruence in [15].

In Theorem 2 we give a method for constructing a regularizing decomposition of a matrix pair; the method is formulated in terms of vector spaces and linear mappings.

1.2 A topological classification of pairs of linear mappings

Each matrix $A \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$ defines the linear mapping (which we denote by the same letter) $A : \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F}^m$, $v \mapsto Av$. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. We say that

pairs (A, B) and (A', B') of $m \times n$ matrices are *topologically equivalent* if the corresponding pairs of linear mappings

$$\mathbb{F}^n \underset{B}{\overset{A}{\rightrightarrows}} \mathbb{F}^m\,, \qquad \mathbb{F}^{n'} \underset{B'}{\overset{A'}{\rightrightarrows}} \mathbb{F}^{m'} \tag{4}$$

are *topologically equivalent*, which means that there exist homeomorphisms $\varphi : \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F}^{n'}$ and $\psi : \mathbb{F}^m \to \mathbb{F}^{m'}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{F}^n & \underset{B}{\overset{A}{\rightrightarrows}} & \mathbb{F}^m \\ {\scriptstyle\varphi}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\psi} \\ \mathbb{F}^{n'} & \underset{B'}{\overset{A'}{\rightrightarrows}} & \mathbb{F}^{m'} \end{array} \tag{5}$$

is commutative: $\psi A = A'\varphi$ and $\psi B = B'\varphi$.

A mapping between two topological spaces is a *homeomorphism* if it is a continuous bijection whose inverse is also a continuous bijection; we consider $\mathbb{F}^n$ as a topological space with topology induced by the usual norm: $\|z\| = \sqrt{z_1\bar{z}_1 + \cdots + z_n\bar{z}_n}$. If $\varphi : \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F}^{n'}$ is a homeomorphism, then $n = n'$ by [2, Corollary 19.10] or [20, Section 11]. This gives $n = n'$ and $m = m'$ in (5).

The pairs of linear mappings (4) are called *linearly equivalent* if there exist linear bijections φ and ψ such that the diagram (5) is commutative. This means that the corresponding matrix pairs (A, B) and (A', B') are equivalent; i.e., (1) holds. Since each linear bijection $\mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F}^n$ is a homeomorphism, if two pairs (4) are linearly equivalent, then they are topologically equivalent.

We say that two $r \times r$ matrices D and D' over $\mathbb{F}$ are *topologically similar* if there exists a homeomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{F}^r \to \mathbb{F}^r$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{F}^r & \xrightarrow{\;D\;} & \mathbb{F}^r \\ {\scriptstyle\varphi}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\varphi} \\ \mathbb{F}^r & \xrightarrow{\;D'\;} & \mathbb{F}^r \end{array}$$

is commutative: $\varphi D = D'\varphi$.

The following theorem is proved in Section 3 by the method for constructing a regularizing decomposition described in Section 2.

Theorem 1. *Each pair (A, B) of matrices of the same size over $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ is topologically equivalent to a direct sum (2), in which D is an $r \times r$ nonsingular matrix and each (M_i, N_i) is of the form (3). The matrix D is determined by (A, B) uniquely up to topological similarity; the summands $(M_1, N_1), \ldots, (M_t, N_t)$ are determined uniquely up to permutation.*

Kuiper and Robbin [18, 21] gave a criterion for topological similarity of real matrices without eigenvalues that are roots of 1; their result was extended to complex matrices in [4]. The problem of topological similarity of matrices with an eigenvalue that is a root of 1 was considered by Kuiper and Robbin [18, 21], Cappell and Shaneson [5, 6, 7, 8, 9], and Hambleton and Pedersen [12, 13]. The problem of topological classification was studied for orthogonal operators [17], for affine operators [1, 3, 4, 10], for Möbius transformations [24], for chains of linear mappings [23], for oriented cycles of linear mappings [22, 25], and for quiver representations [19].

2 A method for constructing a regularizing decomposition

2.1 A formal description of the method

Let $\mathcal{P}:\ U \underset{\mathcal{B}}{\overset{\mathcal{A}}{\rightrightarrows}} V$ be a pair of linear mappings over a field $\mathbb{F}$. Let

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_{11},\ \mathcal{P}_{12},\ \dots,\ \mathcal{P}_{1\ell_1} = \mathcal{P}_{21},\ \mathcal{P}_{22},\ \dots,\ \mathcal{P}_{2\ell_2} = \mathcal{P}_{31},\ \mathcal{P}_{32},\ \dots,\ \mathcal{P}_{3\ell_3} \quad (6)$$

be a sequence of pairs of linear mappings on vector spaces over $\mathbb{F}$, in which

- $\mathcal{P}_{i,j+1}$ is defined by $\mathcal{P}_{ij}:\ U_{ij} \underset{\mathcal{B}_{ij}}{\overset{\mathcal{A}_{ij}}{\rightrightarrows}} V_{ij}$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{P}_{1,j+1}: \qquad U_{1,j+1} := \mathcal{A}_{1j}^{-1}(\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{B}_{1j}) \underset{\mathcal{B}_{1,j+1}}{\overset{\mathcal{A}_{1,j+1}}{\rightrightarrows}} \operatorname{Im}\mathcal{B}_{1j} =: V_{1,j+1}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{2,j+1}: \qquad U_{2,j+1} := \mathcal{B}_{2j}^{-1}(\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{A}_{2j}) \underset{\mathcal{B}_{2,j+1}}{\overset{\mathcal{A}_{2,j+1}}{\rightrightarrows}} \operatorname{Im}\mathcal{A}_{2j} =: V_{2,j+1}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{3,j+1}: \qquad U_{3,j+1} := U_{3j}/\operatorname{Ker}\mathcal{B}_{3j} \underset{\mathcal{B}_{3,j+1}}{\overset{\mathcal{A}_{3,j+1}}{\rightrightarrows}} V_{3j}/\mathcal{A}_{3j}(\operatorname{Ker}\mathcal{B}_{3j}) =: V_{3,j+1}$$

  (the mappings $\mathcal{A}_{i,j+1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{i,j+1}$ are induced by $\mathcal{A}_{ij}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{ij}$),

- $\mathcal{P}_{i\ell_i} = (\mathcal{A}_{i\ell_i}, \mathcal{B}_{i\ell_i})$ is the first pair in the sequence $\mathcal{P}_{i1},\ \mathcal{P}_{i2},\ \dots$ such that
  - $\mathcal{B}_{i\ell_i}$ is surjective if $i = 1$,

– $\mathcal{A}_{i\ell_i}$ is surjective if $i = 2$,

– $\mathcal{B}_{i\ell_i}$ is bijective if $i = 3$.

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathcal{P}: U \underset{\mathcal{B}}{\overset{\mathcal{A}}{\rightrightarrows}} V$ be a pair of linear mappings over a field $\mathbb{F}$, and let (6) be a sequence of pairs of linear mappings on vector spaces over $\mathbb{F}$ that has been constructed according to the preceding formal description. Then there exist bases of the spaces U and V in which $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is given by the direct sum of the following matrix pairs:*

- *a pair of nonsingular matrices that gives $\mathcal{P}_{3\ell_3} = (\mathcal{A}_{3\ell_3}, \mathcal{B}_{3\ell_3})$,*
- *$(\dim V_{1j} - \dim V_{1,j+1}) - (\dim U_{1j} - \dim U_{1,j+1})$ copies of (L_j^T, R_j^T), $1 \leqslant j < \ell_1$,*
- *$(\dim U_{1j} - \dim U_{1,j+1}) - (\dim V_{1,j+1} - \dim V_{1,j+2})$ copies of $(I_j, J_j(0))$, $1 \leqslant j < \ell_1$,*
- *$\dim V_{2j} - 2\dim V_{2,j+1} + \dim V_{2,j+2}$ copies of $(J_j(0), I_j)$, $1 \leqslant j < \ell_2$,*
- *$\dim U_{3j} - 2\dim U_{3,j+1} + \dim U_{3,j+2}$[1] copies of (L_j, R_j), $1 \leqslant j < \ell_3$.*

This direct sum is a regularizing decomposition for each matrix pair that gives $\mathcal{P}$ in some bases of U and V.

2.2 A justification of the method

In this section we prove Theorem 2. All matrices and vector spaces are considered over a field $\mathbb{F}$.

The *direct sum* of pairs of linear mappings $\mathcal{P}: U \underset{\mathcal{B}}{\overset{\mathcal{A}}{\rightrightarrows}} V$ and $\mathcal{P}': U' \underset{\mathcal{B}'}{\overset{\mathcal{A}'}{\rightrightarrows}} V'$ is the pair

$$\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{P}': \quad U \oplus U' \underset{\mathcal{B}\oplus\mathcal{B}'}{\overset{\mathcal{A}\oplus\mathcal{A}'}{\rightrightarrows}} V \oplus V'$$

It follows from Kronecker's canonical form for matrix pencils [11, Section XII] (or from the Krull–Schmidt theorem for quiver representations [16]) that

[1] This sum was written in [Linear Algebra Appl. 450 (2014) 121–137] incorrectly as follows: $\dim V_{3j} - 2\dim V_{3,j+1} + \dim V_{3,j+2}$.

each pair of linear mappings $\mathcal{P}:\ U \underset{\mathcal{B}}{\overset{\mathcal{A}}{\rightrightarrows}} V$ decomposes into a direct sum of direct-sum-indecomposable pairs and this sum is uniquely determined, up to permutation and linear equivalence of direct summands. Replacing all summands that are pairs of bijections by their direct sum $\mathcal{R}$, we obtain a decomposition

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{R} \oplus \mathcal{S}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{S}_t \tag{7}$$

in which $\mathcal{R}$ is a pair of linear bijections and each $\mathcal{S}_i$ is given in some bases of its spaces by one of the matrix pairs (3). Each row and each column of the matrices in matrix pairs (3) contains at most one "1" and the other entries are "0"; hence the basis vectors of $\mathcal{S}_i$ form a chain

$$\mathcal{C}_i:\ \bullet\!-\!\bullet\!-\cdots-\!\bullet\!-\!\bullet \tag{8}$$

in which the points denote these basis vectors and each line is $\overset{\mathcal{A}}{\longrightarrow}$, $\overset{\mathcal{B}}{\longrightarrow}$, $\overset{\mathcal{A}}{\longleftarrow}$, or $\overset{\mathcal{B}}{\longleftarrow}$. The number of lines is called the *length* of $\mathcal{C}_i$. For each chain $\mathcal{C}$ we denote by $P(\mathcal{C})$ the matrix pair that is determined by $\mathcal{C}$. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} (I_3, J_3(0)) &= P(\overset{\mathcal{B}}{\not\to} v_1 \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\longleftarrow} u_1 \overset{\mathcal{B}}{\longrightarrow} v_2 \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\longleftarrow} u_2 \overset{\mathcal{B}}{\longrightarrow} v_3 \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\longleftarrow} u_3 \overset{\mathcal{B}}{\longrightarrow} 0) \\ (L_3^T, R_3^T) &= P(\overset{\mathcal{B}}{\not\to} v_1 \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\longleftarrow} u_1 \overset{\mathcal{B}}{\longrightarrow} v_2 \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\longleftarrow} u_2 \overset{\mathcal{B}}{\longrightarrow} v_3 \overset{\mathcal{A}}{\not\leftarrow}) \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

in which $u_1, u_2, \dots$ are basis vectors of U, $v_1, v_2, \dots$ are basis vectors of V, and $\overset{\mathcal{B}}{\not\to} v_1$ means that $v_1 \notin \operatorname{Im}\mathcal{B}$.

Now we apply to the pair $\mathcal{P}_1 := \mathcal{P}$ transformations of three types that transform the regular part $\mathcal{R}$ to a linearly equivalent pair and decrease the lengths of chains (8), which correspond to the singular summands. We describe how the singular summands are changed. We repeat these transformations until all singular summands are eliminated.

Transformation 1. *Replace a pair of linear mappings* $\mathcal{P}_1:\ U_1 \underset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\rightrightarrows}} V_1$ *with the pair*

$$\mathcal{P}_2: \qquad U_2 := \mathcal{A}_1^{-1}(\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{B}_1) \underset{\mathcal{B}_2}{\overset{\mathcal{A}_2}{\rightrightarrows}} \operatorname{Im}\mathcal{B}_1 =: V_2 \tag{10}$$

in which $\mathcal{A}_2$ *and* $\mathcal{B}_2$ *are the restrictions of* $\mathcal{A}_1$ *and* $\mathcal{B}_1$. *We write* $\mathcal{P}_2 = \operatorname{trn}_1(\mathcal{P}_1)$.

The mappings $\mathcal{A}_2$ and $\mathcal{B}_2$ in (10) are defined correctly since $\mathcal{A}_1(U_2) \subset V_2$ and $\mathcal{B}_1(U_2) \subset V_2$.

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathcal{P}_1$ and $\mathcal{P}_2$ be the pairs of linear mappings from Transformation 1. Let (A_1, B_1) and (A_2, B_2) be their matrix pairs in arbitrary bases. We can construct a regularizing decomposition of (A_2, B_2) from a regularizing decomposition of (A_1, B_1) as follows:*

- *Delete all summands of the form*

$$P(\overset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\nrightarrow} v_1 \overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\nleftarrow}) = (0_{10}, 0_{10}) = (L_1^T, R_1^T) \tag{11}$$

$$P(\overset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\nrightarrow} v_1 \overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\longleftarrow} u_1 \overset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\longrightarrow} 0) = ([1], [0]) = (I_1, J_1(0)); \tag{12}$$

  their numbers are, respectively,

$$(\dim V_1 - \dim V_2) - (\dim U_1 - \dim U_2) \tag{13}$$

$$(\dim U_1 - \dim U_2) - (\dim V_2 - \dim V_3), \tag{14}$$

  in which V_3 is the second vector space of the pair

$$\mathcal{P}_3 := \mathrm{trn}_1(\mathcal{P}_2): \qquad U_3 := \mathcal{A}_2^{-1}(\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{B}_2) \underset{\mathcal{B}_3}{\overset{\mathcal{A}_3}{\rightrightarrows}} \operatorname{Im}\mathcal{B}_2 =: V_3\,.$$

- *Replace all summands of the form*

$$P(\overset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\nrightarrow} v_1 \overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\longleftarrow} u_1 \overset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\longrightarrow} v_2 \overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\longleftarrow} \cdots \overset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\longrightarrow} v_k \overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\nleftarrow}) = (L_k^T, R_k^T)$$

$$P(\overset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\nrightarrow} v_1 \overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\longleftarrow} u_1 \overset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\longrightarrow} v_2 \overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\longleftarrow} \cdots \overset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\longrightarrow} v_k \overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\longleftarrow} u_k \overset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\longrightarrow} 0) = (I_k, J_k(0))$$

  with $k \geqslant 2$ by

$$P(\overset{\mathcal{B}_2}{\nrightarrow} v_2 \overset{\mathcal{A}_2}{\longleftarrow} u_2 \overset{\mathcal{B}_2}{\longrightarrow} v_3 \overset{\mathcal{A}_2}{\longleftarrow} \cdots \overset{\mathcal{B}_2}{\longrightarrow} v_k \overset{\mathcal{A}_2}{\nleftarrow}) = (L_{k-1}^T, R_{k-1}^T)$$

$$P(\overset{\mathcal{B}_2}{\nrightarrow} v_2 \overset{\mathcal{A}_2}{\longleftarrow} u_2 \overset{\mathcal{B}_2}{\longrightarrow} v_3 \overset{\mathcal{A}_2}{\longleftarrow} \cdots \overset{\mathcal{B}_2}{\longrightarrow} v_k \overset{\mathcal{A}_2}{\longleftarrow} u_k \overset{\mathcal{B}_2}{\longrightarrow} 0) = (I_{k-1}, J_{k-1}(0)),$$

  respectively.

- *Leave the other summands unchanged.*

Proof. Only in this proof, we use regularizing decompositions with the pairs $(J_k(0)^T, I_k)$ and (R_k, L_k) instead of $(J_k(0), I_k)$ and (L_k, R_k) (they are equivalent). Each chain (8) for such regularizing decompositions has either the form

$$\overset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\nrightarrow} v_1 \overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\longleftarrow} u_1 \overset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\longrightarrow} v_2 \overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\longleftarrow} u_2 \overset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\longrightarrow} \cdots, \tag{15}$$

as in (9), or the form

$$0 \xleftarrow{\mathcal{A}_1} u_1 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}_1} v_1 \xleftarrow{\mathcal{A}_1} u_2 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}_1} \cdots, \tag{16}$$

as in

$$(J_3(0)^T, I_3) = P(0 \xleftarrow{\mathcal{A}_1} u_1 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}_1} v_1 \xleftarrow{\mathcal{A}_1} u_2 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}_1} v_2 \xleftarrow{\mathcal{A}_1} u_3 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}_1} v_3 \xleftarrow{\mathcal{A}_1})$$
$$(R_3, L_3) = P(0 \xleftarrow{\mathcal{A}_1} u_1 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}_1} v_1 \xleftarrow{\mathcal{A}_1} u_2 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}_1} v_2 \xleftarrow{\mathcal{A}_1} u_3 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}_1} 0).$$

Applying Transformation 1 to (7) with $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1$, we get

$$\operatorname{trn}_1(\mathcal{P}_1) = \operatorname{trn}_1(\mathcal{R}) \oplus \operatorname{trn}_1(\mathcal{S}_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \operatorname{trn}_1(\mathcal{S}_t).$$

It is clear that $\operatorname{trn}_1(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R}$.

Each $\mathcal{S}_i$ is given by a chain $\mathcal{C}_i$ of the form (15) or (16). Respectively, $\operatorname{trn}_1(\mathcal{S}_i)$ is given by the part of $\mathcal{C}_i$ taken in a rectangle in

$\mathcal{C}_i$:



or (17)

since

- in the pair of linear mappings given by the left-hand chain, the space $\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{B}_1$ is generated by $v_2, v_3, \ldots$ and the space $\mathcal{A}_1^{-1}(\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{B}_1)$ is generated by $u_2, u_3, \ldots$;
- in the pair of linear mappings given by the right-hand chain, the spaces $\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{B}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_1^{-1}(\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{B}_1)$ are generated by $v_1, v_2, \ldots$ and $u_1, u_2, \ldots$ ($u_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1^{-1}(\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{B}_1)$ because $u_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1^{-1}(0)$).

This proves all but two of the statements in Lemma 1; it remains to prove the correctness of (13) and (14).

It follows from (17) that under the action of Transformation 1 each chain of the form (15) loses its basis vector v_1, each chain of the form (15) of nonzero length loses its basis vector u_1, and each chain of the form (16) does not change. Hence, the number of chains of the form (15) is equal to $\dim V_1 - \dim V_2$. The number of chains of the form (15) of nonzero length is equal to $\dim U_1 - \dim U_2$. Thus, the number of chains of the form

$$\overset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\nrightarrow} v_1 \overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\nleftarrow} \tag{18}$$

is equal to (13).

The chains of the form (18) and

$$\overset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\nrightarrow} v_1 \overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\longleftarrow} u_1 \overset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\longrightarrow} 0 \tag{19}$$

(whose length is 0 and 1) disappear under the action of Transformation 1. The other chains of the form (15) become the chains of the form (15) of the pair $\mathcal{P}_2$ (but their length is reduced by 2); and so their number is $\dim V_2 - \dim V_3$. Thus, the number of "short" chains (18) and (19) is

$$(\dim V_1 - \dim V_2) - (\dim V_2 - \dim V_3). \tag{20}$$

Since the number of chains (18) in $\mathcal{P}_1$ is (13), the number of chains (19) is (14). □

We repeat Transformation 1 until we obtain a pair $\mathcal{P}_\ell : \ U_\ell \underset{\mathcal{B}_\ell}{\overset{\mathcal{A}_\ell}{\rightrightarrows}} V_\ell$, in which $\mathcal{B}_\ell$ is surjective. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_1 : \ U_1 \underset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\rightrightarrows}} V_1$ the pair obtained and apply to it the following transformation (in fact, we apply Transformation 1 to $U_1 \underset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\overset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\rightrightarrows}} V_1$).

Transformation 2. *If* $\mathcal{P}_1 : \ U_1 \underset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\rightrightarrows}} V_1$ *is a pair of linear mappings in which* $\mathcal{B}_1$ *is surjective, then replace it with the pair*

$$\mathcal{P}_2 = \mathrm{trn}_2(\mathcal{P}_1) : \quad U_2 := \mathcal{B}_1^{-1}(\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{A}_1) \underset{\mathcal{B}_2}{\overset{\mathcal{A}_2}{\rightrightarrows}} \operatorname{Im}\mathcal{A}_1 =: V_2 \tag{21}$$

in which $\mathcal{A}_2$ *and* $\mathcal{B}_2$ *are the restrictions of* $\mathcal{A}_1$ *and* $\mathcal{B}_1$.

Lemma 2. *Let $\mathcal{P}_1$ and $\mathcal{P}_2$ be the pairs of linear mappings from Transformation 2. Let (A_1, B_1) and (A_2, B_2) be their matrix pairs in arbitrary bases. We can construct a regularizing decomposition of (A_2, B_2) from a regularizing decomposition of (A_1, B_1) as follows:*

- *Delete all summands of the form*

$$P(\overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\nrightarrow} v_1 \overset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\longleftarrow} u_1 \overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\longrightarrow} 0) = ([0], [1]) = (J_1(0), I_1);$$

  their number is

$$\dim V_1 - 2\dim V_2 + \dim V_3$$

  in which V_3 is the second vector space of the pair $\mathcal{P}_3 := \mathrm{trn}_2(\mathcal{P}_2)$.

- *Replace all summands of the form*

$$P(\overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\nrightarrow} v_1 \overset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\longleftarrow} u_1 \overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\longrightarrow} v_2 \overset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\longleftarrow} \cdots \overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\longrightarrow} v_k \overset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\longleftarrow} u_k \overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\longrightarrow} 0) = (J_k(0), I_k)$$

  with $k \geqslant 2$ by

$$P(\overset{\mathcal{A}_2}{\nrightarrow} v_2 \overset{\mathcal{B}_2}{\longleftarrow} u_2 \overset{\mathcal{A}_2}{\longrightarrow} v_3 \overset{\mathcal{B}_2}{\longleftarrow} \cdots \overset{\mathcal{A}_2}{\longrightarrow} v_k \overset{\mathcal{B}_2}{\longleftarrow} u_k \overset{\mathcal{A}_2}{\longrightarrow} 0) = (J_{k-1}(0), I_{k-1}).$$

Proof. This lemma follows from Lemma 1 applied to $\mathcal{P}_1 : U_1 \underset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\overset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\rightrightarrows}} V_1$ in which $\mathcal{B}_1$ is surjective, and so its singular summands cannot be given by chains of the form

$$\overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\nrightarrow} v_1 \overset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\longleftarrow} u_1 \overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\longrightarrow} v_2 \overset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\longleftarrow} \cdots \overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\longrightarrow} v_k \overset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\not\longleftarrow}, \qquad k \geqslant 1.$$

Hence the number of chains of the form $\overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\nrightarrow} v_1 \overset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\longleftarrow} u_1 \overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\longrightarrow} 0$ is equal to (20). □

We repeat Transformation 2 until we obtain a pair $\mathcal{P}_\ell : U_\ell \underset{\mathcal{B}_\ell}{\overset{\mathcal{A}_\ell}{\rightrightarrows}} V_\ell$, in which $\mathcal{A}_\ell$ is surjective (these transformations preserve the surjectivity of $\mathcal{B}_\ell$). We denote by $\mathcal{P}_1 : U_1 \underset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\rightrightarrows}} V_1$ the pair obtained and apply to it the following transformation.

Transformation 3. *If* $\mathcal{P}_1:\ U_1 \underset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\rightrightarrows}} V_1$ *is a pair of linear mappings in which* $\mathcal{A}_1$ *and* $\mathcal{B}_1$ *are surjective, then replace it with the pair*

$$\mathcal{P}_2 = \operatorname{trn}_3(\mathcal{P}_1):\qquad U_2 := U_1/\operatorname{Ker}\mathcal{B}_1 \underset{\mathcal{B}_2}{\overset{\mathcal{A}_2}{\rightrightarrows}} V_1/\mathcal{A}_1(\operatorname{Ker}\mathcal{B}_1) =: V_2\,. \tag{22}$$

The mappings $\mathcal{A}_2$ and $\mathcal{B}_2$ in (22) are defined correctly since for each $u \in U_1$ we have $\mathcal{A}_1(u + \operatorname{Ker}\mathcal{B}_1) = \mathcal{A}_1(u) + \mathcal{A}_1(\operatorname{Ker}\mathcal{B}_1)$ and $\mathcal{B}_1(u + \operatorname{Ker}\mathcal{B}_1) = \mathcal{B}_1(u)$.

Lemma 3. *Let* $\mathcal{P}_1$ *and* $\mathcal{P}_2$ *be the pairs of linear mappings from Transformation 3. Let* (A_1, B_1) *and* (A_2, B_2) *be their matrix pairs in arbitrary bases. We can construct a regularizing decomposition of* (A_2, B_2) *from a regularizing decomposition of* (A_1, B_1) *as follows:*

- *Delete all summands of the form*

  $$P(0 \xleftarrow{\mathcal{B}_1} u_1 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_1} 0) = (0_{01}, 0_{01}) = (L_1, R_1);$$

  their number is

  $$\dim U_1 - 2\dim U_2 + \dim U_3 \tag{23}$$

  in which U_3 *is the first vector space of the pair* $\mathcal{P}_3 := \operatorname{trn}_3(\mathcal{P}_2)$.

- *Replace all summands of the form*

  $$P(0 \xleftarrow{\mathcal{B}_1} u_1 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_1} v_1 \xleftarrow{\mathcal{B}_1} u_2 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_1} \cdots \xleftarrow{\mathcal{B}_1} u_k \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_1} 0) = (L_k, R_k), \quad k \geqslant 2,$$

  by

  $$P(\bar{0} \xleftarrow{\mathcal{B}_2} \bar{u}_2 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_2} \bar{v}_2 \xleftarrow{\mathcal{B}_2} \bar{u}_3 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_2} \cdots \xleftarrow{\mathcal{B}_2} \bar{u}_k \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_2} \bar{0}) = (L_{k-1}, R_{k-1}),$$

  in which $\bar{0}, \bar{u}_2, \bar{v}_2, \ldots$ *are the elements of the factor spaces that contain* $0, u_2, v_2, \ldots$.

Proof. Applying Transformation 3 to (7) with $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1$, we get

$$\operatorname{trn}_3(\mathcal{P}_1) = \operatorname{trn}_3(\mathcal{R}) \oplus \operatorname{trn}_3(\mathcal{S}_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \operatorname{trn}_3(\mathcal{S}_t).$$

The pairs $\operatorname{trn}_3(\mathcal{R})$ and $\mathcal{R}$ are linearly equivalent.

Since $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_1$ in $\mathcal{P}_1$ are surjections, the end vectors of each chain of basis vectors belong to U_1. Hence, the chain of each $\mathcal{S}_i$ has the form

$$\mathcal{C}_i:\qquad 0 \xleftarrow{\mathcal{B}_1} u_1 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_1} v_1 \xleftarrow{\mathcal{B}_1} u_2 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_1} \cdots \xleftarrow{\mathcal{B}_1} u_k \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_1} 0, \qquad k \geqslant 1. \tag{24}$$

Since $u_1 \in \operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{B}_1$ and $v_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1(\operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{B}_1)$, $\operatorname{trn}_3(\mathcal{S}_i)$ is given by the chain

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}_i : \qquad \bar{0} \xleftarrow{\mathcal{B}_2} \bar{u}_2 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_2} \bar{v}_2 \xleftarrow{\mathcal{B}_2} \bar{u}_3 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_2} \cdots \xleftarrow{\mathcal{B}_2} \bar{u}_k \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_2} \bar{0}. \tag{25}$$

Hence, the number of chains $\mathcal{C}_i$ is equal to $\dim U_1 - \dim U_2$.

The chains of the form

$$0 \xleftarrow{\mathcal{B}_1} u_1 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_1} 0 \tag{26}$$

disappear under the action of Transformation 3. The other chains (24) become the chains (25) of the pair $\mathcal{P}_2$ (but their length is reduced by 2); their number is $\dim U_2 - \dim U_3$. Thus, the number of chains (26) is (23). □

We repeat Transformation 3 until we obtain a pair $\mathcal{P}_\ell : U_\ell \underset{\mathcal{B}_\ell}{\overset{\mathcal{A}_\ell}{\rightrightarrows}} V_\ell$ in which $\mathcal{B}_\ell$ is bijective. Since $\dim U_\ell = \dim V_\ell$ and $\mathcal{A}_\ell$ is surjective, it is bijective too. Thus, $\mathcal{P}_\ell$ is a regular pair.

Proof of Theorem 2. We take an arbitrary pair of linear mappings $\mathcal{P} : U \underset{\mathcal{B}}{\overset{\mathcal{A}}{\rightrightarrows}} V$ and an arbitrary decomposition (7) in which $\mathcal{R}$ is a pair of linear bijections and each $\mathcal{S}_i$ is a singular indecomposable summand. We apply Transformations 1–3 to $\mathcal{P}$ and obtain a pair that is linearly equivalent to $\mathcal{R}$ in (7). Lemmas 1–3 determine the summands $\mathcal{S}_1, \dots, \mathcal{S}_t$ uniquely up to linear equivalence. □

3 A topological classification of matrix pencils

In this section we prove Theorem 1. All matrices and vector spaces are considered over $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$.

By Kronecker's theorem, each matrix pair is equivalent (and hence topologically equivalent) to (2); it remains to prove the uniqueness of (2). More precisely, let

$$(A, B) := (I_r, D) \oplus (M_1, N_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (M_t, N_t) \tag{27}$$

$$(A', B') := (I_{r'}, D') \oplus (M'_1, N'_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (M'_{t'}, N'_{t'}) \tag{28}$$

be direct sums of the form (2); i.e., D and D' are nonsingular and all (M_i, N_i), (M'_j, N'_j) are pairs of the form (3). Let (A, B) and (A', B') be topologically

equivalent. We need to prove that

$$\begin{aligned}&D \text{ is topologically similar to } D',\ t = t', \text{ and there is}\\ &\text{a reindexing of the } (M'_j, N'_j)\text{'s such that } (M_i, N_i) =\\ &(M'_i, N'_i) \text{ for all } i.\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_1 : U_1 \overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\underset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\rightrightarrows}} V_1$ and $\mathcal{P}_1{}' : U'_1 \overset{\mathcal{A}'_1}{\underset{\mathcal{B}'_1}{\rightrightarrows}} V'_1$ be pairs of linear mappings given by (A, B) and (A', B') in some bases of inner product spaces U_1, V_1, U'_1, V'_1 (which are Euclidean if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or unitary if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$). The commutative diagram (5) takes the form

$$\begin{array}{lcc} \mathcal{P}_1 : & U_1 \overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\underset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\rightrightarrows}} & V_1 \\ & {\scriptstyle\varphi_1}\downarrow \qquad\qquad & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\psi_1} \\ \mathcal{P}'_1 : & U'_1 \overset{\mathcal{A}'_1}{\underset{\mathcal{B}'_1}{\rightrightarrows}} & V'_1 \end{array} \tag{30}$$

in which φ_1 and ψ_1 are homeomorphisms.

Let us apply Transformations 1–3 to the diagram (30).

Transformation 1′. *Replace the commutative diagram* (30) *with*

$$\begin{array}{lcc} \mathcal{P}_2 : & U_2 = \mathcal{A}_1^{-1}(\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{B}_1) \overset{\mathcal{A}_2}{\underset{\mathcal{B}_2}{\rightrightarrows}} & \operatorname{Im}\mathcal{B}_1 = V_2 \\ & {\scriptstyle\varphi_2}\downarrow \qquad\qquad & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\psi_2} \\ \mathcal{P}'_2 : & U'_2 = \mathcal{A}_1'^{-1}(\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{B}'_1) \overset{\mathcal{A}'_2}{\underset{\mathcal{B}'_2}{\rightrightarrows}} & \operatorname{Im}\mathcal{B}'_1 = V'_2 \end{array} \tag{31}$$

in which φ_2 and ψ_2 are the restrictions of φ_1 and ψ_1.

Lemma 4. *The homeomorphisms φ_2 and ψ_2 in* (31) *are defined correctly.*

Proof. We need to show that $\varphi_1(U_2) = U'_2$ and $\psi_1(V_2) = V'_2$. It suffices prove

$$\varphi_1(U_2) \subset U'_2, \qquad \psi_1(V_2) \subset V'_2 \tag{32}$$

since then we can take

$$\begin{array}{cc} U'_1 \overset{\mathcal{A}'_1}{\underset{\mathcal{B}'_1}{\rightrightarrows}} & V'_1 \\ {\scriptstyle\varphi_1^{-1}}\downarrow \qquad\qquad & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\psi_1^{-1}} \\ U_1 \overset{\mathcal{A}_1}{\underset{\mathcal{B}_1}{\rightrightarrows}} & V_1 \end{array}$$

instead of (30) and obtain $\varphi_1^{-1}(U_2') \subset U_2$ and $\psi_1^{-1}(V_2') \subset V_2$ instead of (32), which implies $U_2' \subset \varphi_1(U_2)$ and $V_2' \subset \psi_1(V_2)$.

Let us prove the second inclusion in (32). Take $v \in V_2 = \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{B}_1$. There exists $u \in U_1$ such that $\mathcal{B}_1(u) = v$. Since the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} u & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}_1} & v \\ {\scriptstyle\varphi_1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\psi_1} \\ u' & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}_1'} & v' \end{array}$$

is commutative, $\psi_1(v) = v' = \mathcal{B}_1'(u') \in \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{B}_1'$.

Let us prove the first inclusion in (32): $\varphi_1(\mathcal{A}_1^{-1}(V_2)) \subset \mathcal{A}_1'^{-1}(V_2')$. Take $u \in \mathcal{A}_1^{-1}(V_2)$, then $v := \mathcal{A}_1(u) \in V_2$. By the second inclusion in (32), $v' := \psi_1(v) \in V_2'$. Since the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} u & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_1} & v \\ {\scriptstyle\varphi_1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\psi_1} \\ \varphi_1(u) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_1'} & v' \end{array}$$

is commutative, $\varphi_1(u) \in \mathcal{A}_1'^{-1}(V_2')$, which completes the proof of correctness of the mappings in (31). □

Thus, the spaces U_1, V_1, U_2, V_2 are homeomorphic to U_1', V_1', U_2', V_2' and so their dimensions are equal. By Lemma 1, all regularizing decompositions of (A, B) and (A', B') have the same number of summands (L_1^T, R_1^T) and the same number of summands $(I_1, J_1(0))$.

We repeat Transformation 1′ until we obtain a diagram

$$\begin{array}{lccc} \mathcal{P}_\ell: & U_\ell & \underset{\mathcal{B}_\ell}{\overset{\mathcal{A}_\ell}{\rightrightarrows}} & V_\ell \\ & {\scriptstyle\varphi_\ell}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\psi_\ell} \\ \mathcal{P}_\ell': & U_\ell' & \underset{\mathcal{B}_\ell'}{\overset{\mathcal{A}_\ell'}{\rightrightarrows}} & V_\ell' \end{array} \tag{33}$$

in which $\mathcal{B}_\ell$ is a surjection (then $\mathcal{B}_\ell'$ is a surjection too). An $(\ell - 1)$-fold application of Lemma 1 ensures that

> all regularizing decompositions of (A, B) and (A', B') have the same number of summands (L_k^T, R_k^T) and the same number of summands $(I_k, J_k(0))$ for each $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ (34)

Let (30) be the diagram (33) obtained. We apply to it the following transformation (in fact, we apply Transformation $1'$ to the diagram obtained from (30) by interchanging $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_1$ in $\mathcal{P}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_1{}'$ and $\mathcal{B}_1{}'$ in $\mathcal{P}_1{}'$).

Transformation 2′. *If $\mathcal{B}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_1{}'$ in* (30) *are surjections, then replace the commutative diagram* (30) *with*

$$\begin{array}{lc} \mathcal{P}_2: & U_2=\mathcal{B}_1^{-1}(\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{A}_1)\overset{\mathcal{A}_2}{\underset{\mathcal{B}_2}{\rightrightarrows}}\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{A}_1=V_2 \\ & \quad\varphi_2\big\downarrow \qquad\qquad\qquad \big\downarrow\psi_2 \\ \mathcal{P}_2': & U_2'=\mathcal{B}_1{}'^{-1}(\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{A}_1')\overset{\mathcal{A}_2'}{\underset{\mathcal{B}_2'}{\rightrightarrows}}\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{A}_1'=V_2' \end{array}$$

in which φ_2 and ψ_2 are the restrictions of φ_1 and ψ_1.

We repeat Transformation $2'$ until we obtain a diagram (33) in which $\mathcal{A}_\ell$ is a surjection (then $\mathcal{A}_\ell'$ is a surjection too). By (34) in which the matrices of the pairs are interchanged, all regularizing decompositions of (A,B) and (A',B') have the same number of summands $(J_k(0),I_k)$ for each $k=1,2,\dots$

Let (30) be the diagram obtained. We apply to it the following transformation.

Transformation 3′. *If $\mathcal{A}_1$, $\mathcal{A}_1{}'$, $\mathcal{B}_1$, $\mathcal{B}_1{}'$ in* (30) *are surjections, then replace the commutative diagram* (30) *with*

$$\begin{array}{lc} \mathcal{P}_2: & U_2:=U_1/\operatorname{Ker}\mathcal{B}_1\overset{\mathcal{A}_2}{\underset{\mathcal{B}_2}{\rightrightarrows}}V_1/\mathcal{A}_1(\operatorname{Ker}\mathcal{B}_1)=:V_2 \\ & \quad\varphi_2\big\downarrow \qquad\qquad\qquad \big\downarrow\psi_2 \\ \mathcal{P}_2': & U_2':=U_1'/\operatorname{Ker}\mathcal{B}_1'\overset{\mathcal{A}_2'}{\underset{\mathcal{B}_2'}{\rightrightarrows}}V_1'/\mathcal{A}_1'(\operatorname{Ker}\mathcal{B}_1')=:V_2' \end{array} \tag{35}$$

in which φ_2 and ψ_2 are induced by φ_1 and ψ_1.

Lemma 5. *The homeomorphisms φ_2 and ψ_2 in* (35) *are defined correctly.*

Proof. We need to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(u+\operatorname{Ker}\mathcal{B}_1) &= \varphi_1(u)+\operatorname{Ker}\mathcal{B}_1' \\ \psi_1(v+\mathcal{A}_1(\operatorname{Ker}\mathcal{B}_1)) &= \psi_1(v)+\mathcal{A}_1'(\operatorname{Ker}\mathcal{B}_1') \end{aligned}$$

for each $u \in U_1$ and $v \in V_1$. Since $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_1$ are surjections, it suffices to prove

$$\varphi_1(u + \operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{B}_1) \subset \varphi_1(u) + \operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{B}'_1 \tag{36}$$

$$\psi_1(v + \mathcal{A}_1(\operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{B}_1)) \subset \psi_1(v) + \mathcal{A}'_1(\operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{B}'_1). \tag{37}$$

Let us prove (36). Take $u \in U_1$. Write $v := \mathcal{B}_1(u)$ and $v' := \psi_1(v)$. For each $k \in \operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{B}_1$, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} u + k & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}_1} & v \\ {\scriptstyle \varphi_1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_1} \\ \varphi_1(u + k) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}'_1} & v' \end{array}$$

hence $\varphi_1(u + k) \in \mathcal{B}'^{-1}_1(v') = \varphi_1(u) + \operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{B}'_1$.

Let us prove (37). Take any $v \in V_1$ and $k \in \operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{B}_1$. Since $\mathcal{A}_1$ is surjective, $v = \mathcal{A}_1(u)$ for some $u \in U_1$, which gives

$$\begin{array}{ccc} u & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_1} & v \\ {\scriptstyle \varphi_1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_1} \\ \varphi_1(u) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}'_1} & \mathcal{A}'_1(\varphi_1(u)) = \psi_1(v) \end{array}$$

By (36), there exists $k' \in \operatorname{Ker} \mathcal{B}'_1$ such that $\varphi_1(u + k) = \varphi_1(u) + k'$. Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} u + k & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_1} & v + \mathcal{A}_1(k) \\ {\scriptstyle \varphi_1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_1} \\ \varphi_1(u) + k' & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}'_1} & \psi_1(v) + \mathcal{A}'_1(k') \end{array}$$

which proves (37) and completes the proof of correctness of the mappings in (35). □

Thus, the spaces V_1, V_2, and, analogously, V_3 are homeomorphic to V'_1, V'_2, and V'_3 and so their dimensions are equal. By Lemma 3, all regularizing decompositions of (A, B) and (A', B') have the same number of summands (L_1, R_1).

We repeat Transformation 3′ until we obtain a diagram (33) in which $\mathcal{B}_\ell$ is a bijection; then $\mathcal{A}_\ell$, $\mathcal{B}_\ell$, and $\mathcal{B}'_\ell$ are bijections too. Thus, $\mathcal{P}_\ell$ and $\mathcal{P}'_\ell$ are

topologically equivalent; they are regular parts of $\mathcal{P}_1$ and $\mathcal{P}'_1$. An $(\ell-1)$-fold application of Lemma 3 ensures that all regularizing decompositions of (A,B) and (A',B') have the same number of summands (L_k,R_k) for each $k=1,2,\dots$

Proof of Theorem 1. We take arbitrary regularizing decompositions (27) and (28) that are topologically equivalent, construct the commutative diagram (30), apply Transformations 1′–3′ to it, and obtain that

- $t=t'$,
- there is a reindexing of the (M'_j,N'_j)'s such that $(M_i,N_i)=(M'_i,N'_i)$ for all i, and
- (I_r,D) is topologically equivalent to $(I_{r'},D')$.

Thus, there are homeomorphisms φ and ψ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{F}^r & \underset{D}{\overset{I_r}{\rightrightarrows}} & \mathbb{F}^r \\ {\scriptstyle\varphi}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\psi} \\ \mathbb{F}^{r'} & \underset{D'}{\overset{I_{r'}}{\rightrightarrows}} & \mathbb{F}^{r'} \end{array}$$

is commutative. Hence, $r=r'$, $\varphi=\psi$, and so D is topologically similar to D', which ensures (29) and completes the proof of Theorem 1. □

Acknowledgement

V. Futorny is supported in part by the CNPq (grant 301320/2013-6) and FAPESP (grant 2010/50347-9). This work was done during a visit of V.V. Sergeichuk to the University of São Paulo. He is grateful to the University of São Paulo for hospitality and FAPESP for financial support (grant 2012/18139-2).

References

[1] J. Blanc, Conjugacy classes of affine automorphisms of $\mathbb{K}^n$ and linear automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^n$ in the Cremona groups, Manuscripta Math. 119 (2) (2006) 225–241.

[2] G.E. Bredon, Topology and Geometry, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.

[3] T.V. Budnitska, Classification of topological conjugate affine mappings, Ukrainian Math. J. 61 (2009) 164–170.

[4] T. Budnitska, Topological classification of affine operators on unitary and Euclidean spaces, Linear Algebra Appl. 434 (2011) 582–592.

[5] S.E. Cappell, J.L. Shaneson, Linear algebra and topology, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., New Series 1 (1979) 685–687.

[6] S.E. Cappell, J.L. Shaneson, Nonlinear similarity of matrices, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., New Series 1 (1979) 899–902.

[7] S.E. Cappell, J.L. Shaneson, Non-linear similarity, Ann. of Math. 113 (2) (1981) 315–355.

[8] S.E. Cappell, J.L. Shaneson, Non-linear similarity and linear similarity are equivariant below dimension 6, Contemp. Math. 231 (1999) 59–66.

[9] S.E. Cappell, J.L. Shaneson, M. Steinberger, J.E. West, Nonlinear similarity begins in dimension six, Amer. J. Math. 111 (1989) 717–752.

[10] W. Ephrämowitsch, Topologische Klassifikation affiner Abbildungen der Ebene, Mat. Sb. 42 (1) (1935) 23–36.

[11] F.R. Gantmacher, The Theory of Matrices, vol. 2, AMS Chelsea, 2000.

[12] I. Hambleton, E.K. Pedersen, Topological equivalence of linear representations of cyclic groups. I, Ann. of Math. 161 (2005) 61–104.

[13] I. Hambleton, E.K. Pedersen, Topological equivalence of linear representations for cyclic groups. II, Forum Math. 17 (2005) 959–1010.

[14] R.A. Horn, C.R. Johnson, Matrix Analysis, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, New York, 2013.

[15] R.A. Horn, V.V. Sergeichuk, A regularization algorithm for matrices of bilinear and sesquilinear forms, Linear Algebra Appl. 412 (2006) 380–395.

[16] R.A. Horn, V.V. Sergeichuk, Representations of quivers and mixed graphs, Chapter 34 in: L. Hogben (Ed.), Handbook of Linear Algebra, 2nd ed., Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2014.

[17] W.C. Hsiang, W. Pardon, When are topologically equivalent orthogonal transformations linearly equivalent? Invent. Math. 68 (2) (1982) 275–316.

[18] N.H. Kuiper, J.W. Robbin, Topological classification of linear endomorphisms, Invent. Math. 19 (2) (1973) 83–106.

[19] S. López de Medrano, Topological aspects of matrix problems, in: Representations of algebras (Puebla, 1980), pp. 196–210, Lecture Notes in Math., 903, Springer, Berlin, New York, 1981.

[20] J. McCleary, A First Course in Topology: Continuity and Dimension, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.

[21] J.W. Robbin, Topological conjugacy and structural stability for discrete dynamical systems, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1972) 923–952.

[22] T. Rybalkina, Topological classification of pairs of counter linear maps, Mat. Stud. 39 (1) (2013) 21–28 (in Ukrainian).

[23] T. Rybalkina, V.V. Sergeichuk, Topological classification of chains of linear mappings, Linear Algebra Appl. 437 (2012) 860–869.

[24] T. Rybalkina, V.V. Sergeichuk, Topological classification of Möbius transformations, J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) 193 (2013) 769–774.

[25] T. Rybalkina, V.V. Sergeichuk, Topological classification of oriented cycles of linear mappings, Ukrainian Math. J., in press, available at arXiv:1401.2550.

[26] V.V. Sergeichuk, Computation of canonical matrices for chains and cycles of linear mappings, Linear Algebra Appl. 376 (2004) 235–263.

[27] P. Van Dooren, The computation of Kronecker's canonical form of a singular pencil, Linear Algebra Appl. 27 (1979) 103–140.